International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations ISSN 2348-7585 (Online)
Vol. 11, Issue 1, pp: (400-402), Month: April 2023 - September 2023, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Key Risk Indicators & key Performance Indicators: Is the difference important?

Noura Abdulrhman AlOthman

Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8305860

Published Date: 31-August-2023

Abstract: **Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), although related, serve different purposes in measuring performance and managing risk within an organization. Understanding the specific differences between these two metrics is crucial for effective decision-making and strategic planning. KRIs are used to measure the likelihood and impact of risks, while KPIs measure the performance of an organization against its goals and objectives. KRIs are typically more forward-looking, while KPIs are more backward-looking. This paper will discuss the key differences between KRIs and KPIs, and how they can be used together to improve an organization's risk management and performance management processes.**

Keywords: **Key Risk Indicators, Key Performance Indicators, Organizations, Measurements, Performance, and Management.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Every organization faces risks that can impact its ability to achieve its goals. These risks can be financial, operational, strategic, or compliance-related. In order to effectively manage these risks, organizations need to have a way to measure and monitor them. Both Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are important tools for measuring and managing organizational performance. However, they serve different purposes and have different characteristics. The main difference between KRIs and KPIs is that KRIs measure risks, while KPIs measure performance. KRIs are typically used to identify and monitor risks, while KPIs are typically used to track progress towards goals.

II. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN KRIS AND KPIS

Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) are used to monitor and assess potential risks that can negatively impact an organization's objectives. They are forward-looking indicators that help identify and track the probability and potential impact of risks. KRIs are designed to provide early warning signs and enable proactive risk management. These indicators are typically developed based on historical data, industry best practices, and expert judgment. For example, a KRI for a financial institution may be the percentage of non-performing loans, which can signal potential credit risk.

For example, a company that relies on its IT systems to operate might have a KRI that measures the number of security incidents per month. This KRI would help the company to identify and address any security vulnerabilities that could lead to a data breach or any other major incident. On the other hand, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) focus on measuring the achievement of specific objectives and goals. KPIs are backward-looking indicators that provide insights into an organization's performance, efficiency, and effectiveness. These metrics are typically quantifiable and measurable, allowing organizations to track progress, identify areas of improvement, and make data-driven decisions. For instance, a KPI for a sales team may be the monthly revenue generated, which reflects the team's performance and sales effectiveness.

While KRIs primarily focus on risk management and potential threats, KPIs are more concerned with performance measurement and goal attainment. KRIs assess the likelihood and impact of risks, helping organizations take preventive actions, while KPIs measure the actual performance against desired targets, enabling organizations to evaluate their success and identify areas for improvement. For example, a company might have a KPI that measures its customer satisfaction

score. This KPI would help the company to track how satisfied its customers are with its products and services. Another distinction between KRIs and KPIs is the frequency of measurement. KRIs are often monitored in near real-time or on a continuous basis, as they provide early signals of potential risks that require immediate attention. KPIs, on the other hand, are usually measured periodically, such as monthly, quarterly, or annually, as they reflect the overall performance and progress towards established goals. Another difference is that KRIs are typically more specific and measurable than KPIs. KRIs are often used to track the likelihood and/or impact of a risk, while KPIs are typically used to track more general metrics, such as financial performance, IT service availability or customer satisfaction.

List of main and specific difference between KRIs and KPIs:

- **Purpose:** KRIs are used to identify, monitor, and manage risks, while KPIs are used to track progress towards goals.
- **Specificity:** KRIs are typically more specific than KPIs. KRIs often track the likelihood and/or impact of a risk, while KPIs typically track more general metrics, such as financial performance or customer satisfaction.
- **Measurability:** KRIs are typically more measurable than KPIs. KRIs can be measured using a variety of methods, such as surveys, audits, and interviews. KPIs can be more difficult to measure, as they may require the collection of large amounts of data.
- **Actionability:** KRIs are typically more actionable than KPIs. KRIs can be used to identify specific actions that can be taken to reduce risk. KPIs may be less actionable, as they may not provide clear guidance on how to improve performance.
- **Frequency of measurement:** KRIs are typically measured more frequently than KPIs. KRIs need to be monitored closely in order to identify and address risks early on. KPIs can be measured less frequently, as they are typically used to track long-term trends.

III. HOW TO CHOOSE THE RIGHT KRIS AND KPIS

Choosing the right Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) is crucial for any organization to track and monitor their progress effectively. Here are some steps to help you make informed decisions when selecting KRIs and KPIs:

1. Identify your objectives: Start by clearly defining your organization's goals and objectives. Determine what you want to achieve, whether it's reducing risks, improving performance, enhancing customer satisfaction, or increasing profitability. Having a clear understanding of your objectives will help you select relevant KRIs and KPIs.

2. Conduct a risk assessment: Evaluate the potential risks and uncertainties that could affect your organization's ability to achieve its objectives. This assessment will help you identify the most critical risks and prioritize them accordingly. The KRIs you choose should align with these identified risks.

3. Define measurable outcomes: Determine the specific outcomes or performance indicators that are most relevant to your objectives. For instance, if your goal is to enhance customer satisfaction, KPIs like customer retention rate, Net Promoter Score (NPS), or customer complaint resolution time can be considered. Make sure these outcomes are measurable and can be tracked over time.

4. Ensure alignment with strategy: Align your KRIs and KPIs with your organization's overall strategy. This ensures that the selected indicators are in line with your long-term vision. Consider the strategic objectives, business processes, and key areas of focus when choosing your KRIs and KPIs.

5. Keep it simple and focused: Avoid the temptation to track too many indicators. Instead, focus on a few key metrics that truly reflect the performance or risk areas you want to monitor. By keeping it simple, you can ensure that the data collected is manageable and meaningful.

6. Involve key stakeholders: Engage relevant stakeholders, such as department heads, risk managers, or business unit leaders. Gather their input and insights to ensure that the selected KRIs and KPIs are comprehensive and address their specific needs. This collaborative approach increases buy-in and ensures a broader perspective.

7. Regularly review and update: KRIs and KPIs should not be set in stone. Periodically review and reassess their relevance and effectiveness. As your organization evolves, so do the risks and performance requirements. Make necessary adjustments to reflect the changing landscape and ensure continued alignment with your objectives.

Remember, choosing the right KRIs and KPIs requires a thoughtful and systematic approach. By following these steps, you can better assess your organization's risks, measure performance accurately, and make informed decisions to drive continuous improvement.

IV. CONCLUSION

KRIs and KPIs are both important tools for measuring and managing organizational performance. KRIs are forward-looking indicators that help identify and manage potential risks, while KPIs are backward-looking indicators that measure performance and goal attainment. However, they serve different purposes within an organization and have different characteristics. Understanding these specific differences is essential for organizations to effectively manage risks, track performance, and make informed decisions. Additionally, by choosing the right KRIs and KPIs, organizations can improve their ability to identify, monitor, and manage risks, and they can track their progress towards their goals.

REFERENCES

[1] David Parmenter, "Key performance Indicators," 2nd Edition., Developing, Implementing and Using Winning KPIs.

[2] The Art of Service, "Key Risk Indicator ", 2021 Edition.

[3] The Power of Key Risk Indicators (KPIs) in Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) (https://www.metricstream.com/)

[4] Chris Ekia, Key Performance Indicators Vs Key Risk Indicators. Risk Publishing (https://riskpublishing.com/)

[5] A. Smart and J. Creelman, "Risk-Based Performance management: Integrating Strategy and Risk Management". Nov 1, 2013.

[6] Rama Lingeswara Satyanarayana Tammineedi, "Integrating KRIs and KPIs for Effective Technology Risk Management", ISACA (https://www.isaca.org/resources/isaca-journal/issues/2018/volume-4/integrating-kris-and-kpis-for-effective-technology-risk-management)

[7] Gary Cokins, "Strategic Business Management: From Planning to Performance". 2017

[8] Dean Spitzer, "Transforming Performance Management: Rethinking the Way We Measure and Drive Organizational Success". Feb 2007